



College of Natural and Applied Sciences

1-1-2014

Development and characterization of seven polymorphic microsatellite loci in Bembidion atrocaeruleum (Coleoptera: Carabidae)

Matt J. O'Callaghan

Debra S. Finn

Mike Williams

Jonathan P. Sadler

Follow this and additional works at: https://bearworks.missouristate.edu/articles-cnas

Recommended Citation

O'Callaghan, Matt J., Debra S. Finn, Mike Williams, and Jonathan P. Sadler. "Development and characterization of seven polymorphic microsatellite loci in Bembidion atrocaeruleum (Coleoptera: Carabidae)." Journal of Insect Science 14, no. 1 (2014): 203.

This article or document was made available through BearWorks, the institutional repository of Missouri State University. The work contained in it may be protected by copyright and require permission of the copyright holder for reuse or redistribution.

For more information, please contact bearworks@missouristate.edu.

RESEARCH

Development and Characterization of Seven Polymorphic Microsatellite Loci in *Bembidion atrocaeruleum* (Coleoptera: Carabidae)

Matt J. O'Callaghan, 1,2 Debra S. Finn, 1,3 Mike Williams, 4 and Jonathan P. Sadler 1

¹School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Subject Editor: Sara Goodacre

J. Insect Sci. 14(203): 2014; DOI: 10.1093/jisesa/ieu065

ABSTRACT. We isolated seven polymorphic microsatellite loci from a ground beetle (*Bembidion atrocaeruleum*, Coleoptera, Carabidae (Stephens, 1826)) associated with naturally and regularly disturbed floodplain habitat in northwest Europe. Loci were tested on 157 individuals collected from five distinct habitat patches across two adjacent drainage basins in Wales, United Kingdom, to assess their potential for revealing population structure across a relatively short spatial extent. Alleles per locus ranged from 4 to 12. For a central representative population, expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.23 to 0.78 (mean: 0.63), and observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.16 to 0.94 (mean: 0.56). Analysis of molecular variance indicated significant structure among populations, even when one locus potentially containing null alleles was removed. These loci have the potential to aid the study of dispersal mechanisms of this important riparian species along and between river corridors, a recurring question in floodplain conservation studies. In addition, given the diversity of the *Bembidion* genus, they may have utility in the study of sister species.

Key Words: Coleoptera, Bembidion, microsatellite, floodplain, disturbance

Bembidion atrocaeruleum (Coleoptera, Carabidae) (Stephens 1828) is a widespread but highly specialized ground beetle exclusively associated with coarse riparian sediments, having a largely montane distribution across Europe (Luff 1998). It is associated with patchy habitat, subject to frequent flood disturbance. This habitat type supports a high number of specialist species, many of which are rare, and in some cases endemic (Eyre et al. 2000, Anderson and Hanssen 2005, Sadler and Bates 2008). The habitat is vulnerable to anthropogenic degradation, through alteration of hydrology (Petts and Gurnell 2005) or river channel (Florsheim et al. 2008), and the ability of specialist species to colonize alternative habitat patches between rivers and catchments is poorly understood. B. atrocaeruleum uses environmental cues to instigate short flights (maximum 200 m) between habitat patches (Bates et al. 2006), but data on dispersal potential across greater distances are lacking. Microsatellite loci have been identified in other carabids (e.g., Keller and Largiader 2003, Contreras-Diaz et al. 2006), but this represents the first attempt to identify them within the Bembidion genus that we are aware of.

Materials and Methods

Tissue samples from 10 individuals collected from a single centrally located site on the River Severn, United Kingdom, were used by Genetic Identification Services (Chatsworth, CA) to construct four microsatellite-enriched libraries with the magnetic bead-based enrichment procedure described by Jones et al. (2002). Libraries were prepared in parallel using Biotin-CA (15), Biotin-GA(15), Biotin-AAC(12), and Biotin-ATG(12) as capture oligonucleotides. Sequencing of randomly selected recombinant clones and primer design followed Jones et al. (2002). Primers for 24 microsatellite loci of high quality and with suitable flanking regions were tested and screened for polymorphism on respective corresponding clones using an amplification reaction mixture consisting of $1\times$ Biolase Buffer (from $10\times$ stock solution supplied by the manufacturer), $2\,\text{mM}$ MgC12, $0.2\,\text{mM}$ dNTPs, $6\,\mu\text{M}$ each primer, $0.025\,\text{U/\mul}$ Biolase DNA Polymerase (Bioline US, Taunton, MA), and $0.2\,\text{ng/\mul}$ clonal template

DNA in 50 μ l of final reaction volume. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) consisted of an initial 3-min denaturation at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation (94°C, 40s), annealing (55°C, 40s), and extension (72°C, 30 s), with final extension time of 4 min at 72°C. As a simple screening procedure, PCR products were run on a 3.5% agarose gel stained with ethicium bromide. This approach revealed clear polymorphism in 13 of the 24 screened loci.

To assess the potential for detecting population structure both among local habitats along the River Severn and between the River Severn and adjacent River Wye, we initially attempted to amplify all 13 polymorphic loci across five populations (central Severn, three Severn tributaries, and one Wye population; n = 29-32 each). Forward primers were tagged with dyes obtained from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA; Table 1), PCR mixtures and program were as above, products were run on a 3,730 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems), and genotyping was performed on GENEMAPPER v 4.0 (Applied Biosystems). Only 7 of the 13 loci amplified product in the natural populations, and we report on these 7 polymorphic loci here (but see Table 2 for sequences of the six failed primer pairs).

Results

Number of alleles per locus ranged from 4 to 12 (Table 1), and overall $F_{\rm ST}=0.025~(P<0.01)$, as calculated via Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) with an infinite allele model in Arlequin version 3.5.1.2 (Excoffier and Lischer 2010). Six of 10 pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ values were significant (P<0.05, Table 2). Arlequin analysis revealed no evidence for linkage disequilibrium between any loci, either across the full sample extent or within individual populations. Arlequin was also used to perform exact tests of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium for each locus in each population. Observed and expected heterozygosities did not differ significantly at any locus except D101, which showed a significant homozygosity excess in all five populations (Table 1 for central Severn population), probably due to null alleles. Therefore, AMOVA was rerun including only the six loci that consistently demonstrated Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. Excluding D101, overall $F_{\rm ST}=0.005$

²Corresponding author, e-mail: m.j.ocallaghan@bham.ac.uk

³Department of Zoology, Oregon State University, Corvalis, Oregon, US

⁴Environment Agency, Exminster House, Exeter, United Kingdom

Table 1. Details of seven microsatellite loci isolated from B. atrocaeruleum

Primer sequence 5'-3'	Dye	Repeat motif	NA	Size range	$H_{\rm e}^{a}$	H_o^a	Р
F: AACGCACTTTCGACTTCGATA	NED	Di	9	110-141	0.72	0.56	0.19
F: ACCGCCCTCAATGATGAC	6FAM	Tri	~	93–138	0.78	0.81	0.57
F: ATATGCAGTCCAAACCAAGAC	HEX	Tri	12	129–165	0.78	0.94	0.64
R: GCTGAGGATAATGTTGAGAATG F: AGCCCAACACGATAAAACG	HEX	Tri	4	186–195	0.48	0.44	0.72
R: CAACCATCATCCAGTTCGA F: CCTGCTGCATGATATTTGG	6FAM	Tri	7	262–282	0.23	0.25	0.26
R: AGCCAGTGTACGTGCAAAC F: TCCGTTTCTTTCACTGACC	NFD	Tri	9	198–220	0.78	0.74	1
R: CATCATCCGTTACACCAC			_				<0.001**
	F: AACGCACTTTCGACTA R: ATCGGCCCATTACCATAAATC F: ACCGCCCTCAATGATGAC R: TTCCTCTGCCTCGTCCAC F: ATATGCAGTCCAAACCAAGAC R: GCTGAGGATAATGTTGAGAATG F: AGCCCAACACGATAAAACG R: CAACCATCATCCAGTTCGA F: CCTGCTGCATGATATTTGG R: AGCCAGTGTACGTCCAAAC F: TCCGTTTCTTTCACTGACC	F: AACGCACTTCGACTA R: ATCGGCCCCATTACCATAAATC F: ACCGCCCCCATTACCATGAC R: TTCCTCTGCCTCGTCCAC F: ATATGCAGTCCAAACCAAGAC R: GCTGAGGATAATGTTGAGAATG F: AGCCCAACACGATAAAACG R: CAACCATCATCCAGTTCGA F: CCTGCTGCATGATATTTGG R: AGCCCATGATCATTTGG R: AGCCCACTGTCCAGTCCAAC F: TCCGTTTCTTTCACTGACC R: CATCATCCTTACACCACC	F: AACGCACTTCGACTA NED Di R: ATCGGCCCATTACCATAAATC F: ACCGCCCTCAATGACC R: TTCCTCTGCCTCGTCCAC F: ATATGCAGTCCAAACCAAGAC R: GCTGAGGATAATGTTGAGAATG F: AGCCCAACACGATAAAACG R: CAACCATCATCCAGTTCGA F: CCTGCTGCATGATATTTGG R: AGCCCAGTGTACGTCGAAAC F: TCCGTTTCTTTCACTGACC R: CATCATCCGTTCCAC R: CATCATCCTGCATGATATTTGG R: AGCCCAGTGTACGTGCAAAC F: TCCGTTTCTTTCACTGACC R: CATCATCCGTTACACCAC	F: AACGCACTTCGACTA NED Di 9 R: ATCGGCCCATACACATC F: ACCGCCCTCAATGAC 6FAM Tri ~ R: TTCCTCTGCCTCGTCCAC F: ATATGCAGTCCAAACCAAGAC HEX Tri 12 R: GCTGAGGATAATGTTGAGAATG F: AGCCCAACACGATAAAACG HEX Tri 4 R: CAACCATCATCCAGTTCGA F: CCTGCTGCATGATATTTGG 6FAM Tri 7 R: AGCCCAGTGTACAACC F: TCCGTTTCTTCACTGACC NED Tri 9 R: CATCATCCGTTACACCAC	F: AACGCACTTTCGATA NED Di 9 110–141 R: ATCGGCCCATTACCATAAATC F: ACCGCCCTCAATGATGAC 6FAM Tri ~ 93–138 R: TTCCTCTGCCTCGTCCAC F: ATATGCAGTCCAAACCAAGAC HEX Tri 12 129–165 R: GCTGAGGATAATGTTGAGAATG F: AGCCCAACACGATAAAACG HEX Tri 4 186–195 R: CAACCATCATCCAGTTCGA F: CCTGCTGCATGATATTTGG 6FAM Tri 7 262–282 R: AGCCCAGTGTACGTGCAAAC F: TCCGTTTCTTTCACTGACC NED Tri 9 198–220 R: CATCATCCGTTACACCAC	F: AACGCACTTTCGACTA NED Di 9 110–141 0.72 R: ATCGGCCCATTACCATAAATC F: ACCGCCCTCAATGATGAC 6FAM Tri ~ 93–138 0.78 R: TTCCTCTGCCTCCAC F: ATATGCAGTCCAAACCAAGAC HEX Tri 12 129–165 0.78 R: GCTGAGGATAATGTTGAGAATG F: AGCCCAACACGATAAAACG HEX Tri 4 186–195 0.48 R: CAACCATCATCCAGTTCGA F: CCTGCTGCATGATATTTGG 6FAM Tri 7 262–282 0.23 R: AGCCCAGTGTACGTGCAAAC F: TCCGTTTCTTTCACTGACC NED Tri 9 198–220 0.78 R: CATCATCCGTTACACCAC	F: AACGCACTTTCGACTA NED Di 9 110–141 0.72 0.56 R: ATCGGCCCATTACCATAAATC F: ACCGCCCTCAATGATGAC 6FAM Tri ~ 93–138 0.78 0.81 R: TTCCTCTGCCTCCAC F: ATATGCAGTCCAAACCAAGAC HEX Tri 12 129–165 0.78 0.94 R: GCTGAGGATAATGTTGAGAATG F: AGCCCAACACGATAAAAACG HEX Tri 4 186–195 0.48 0.44 R: CAACCATCATCCAGTTCGA F: CCTGCTGCATGATATTTGG 6FAM Tri 7 262–282 0.23 0.25 R: AGCCAGTGTACAGTGCAAAC F: TCCGTTTCTTTCACTGACC NED Tri 9 198–220 0.78 0.74 R: CATCATCCGTTACACCAC CATCATCCGTTACACCAC NED Tri 9 198–220 0.78 0.74

All anneal temperatures were 55°C. NA, number of alleles per locus; Ho, observed heterozygosity; He, expected heterozygosity; P, exact test P value.

Table 2. Sequences for primer pairs designed from clones that failed to amplify product in natural populations

Locus	Primer sequence 5'-3'
Ba-A6	F: TAACGCCACCCTTGCTTA
	R: TACGCCGCTAACCTATGTG
Ba-A110	F: CACACACGCAAACACACATA
	R: TAGGTGCCTGTGGTTGTTC
Ba-B1a	F: AGAGAGAGTTGCGGCAGATA
	R: GACCAATGTTCAGGCTATTCC
Ba-B3	F: GTTTCGGAACAAGATAGGTTT
	R: GGTCCCTCCATACAAATACC
Ba-B103b	F: AGCGACAACATCATTTTAGTG
	R: TGCGTTTACACAATAGACCC
Ba-B111	F: GCAGTGGGGTATGGTG
	R: TCGCAGGCAGAGATTTGT

Table 3. Pairwise F_{ST} (above diagonal, *P < 0.05), first value including and second value excluding locus D101; and Euclidean distance (km, below diagonal) between five populations of B. atrocaeruleum (from two catchments, Severn [S] and Wye [W])

River	Banwy (S)	Severn (S)	Tanat (S)	Vyrnwy (S)	Wye (W)
Banwy		0.01*/0.009	<0.001/<0.001	0.03*/0.003	0.01/0.001
Severn	21.5		0.03*/0.003	0.05*/0.01*	
Tanat	14.8	36.3		0.02*/0.01*	0.04*/<0.001
Vyrnwy	13.2	24.8	17		0.06*/0.004
Wye	33.5	15.8	48.7	39.7	

($P\!=\!0.04$), and 3/10 pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ values were significant (Table 3), two within the Severn region, and one between the Severn and Wye regions.

The population dynamics of patchily distributed riparian invertebrates, their responses to disturbance events, and the potential for recolonization routes after these events are important questions in floodplain conservation biology, particularly given the globally threatened status of natural floodplain systems (Tockner and Stanford 2002). The ability of these microsatellite loci to detect significant structure among B. atroceruleum populations across a minimal spatial extent suggests their strong potential for their use in addressing these questions, in addition, the diversity of the *Bembidion* genus may give these primers some utility in sister species.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the input of Faye Hughes and Lorraine Wallace in the Functional Genomics and Proteomics Facility, University of Birmingham, also Kenneth Jones, Greg Sadowski, and Fred Fernando at Genetic Identification Services, CA. This study was funded by the Environment Agency, England and Wales.

References Cited

Anderson, J., and O. Hanssen. 2005. Riparian beetles, a unique but vulnerable element in the fauna of Fennoscandia. Biodivers. Conserv. 14: 3497–3524.

Bates, A., J. Sadler, and A. Fowles. 2006. Condition-dependent dispersal of a patchily distributed riparian ground beetle in response to disturbance. Oecologia 150: 50–60.

Contreras-Diaz, H. G., J. Pestano, N. M. Suarez, P. Oromi, and C. Juan. 2006. Microsatellite loci in the Canary Islands endemic ground beetle Trechus flavocinctus and their applicability to cave-dwelling related species. Mol. Ecol. Notes 6: 54–56.

Excoffier, L., and H. E. L. Lischer. 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 10: 564–567.

Eyre, M., M. Luff, and D. Lott. 2000. Records of rare and notable species from riverine sediments in Scotland and northern England. Coleopterist 9: 25–38.

Florsheim, J. L., J. F. Mount, and A. Chin. 2008. Bank erosion as a desirable attribute of rivers. Bioscience 58: 519–529.

Jones, K. C., and K. F., Levine, et al. 2002. Characterization of 11 polymorphic tetranucleotide microsatellites for forensic applications in California elk (Cervus elaphus canadensis). Mol. Ecol. Notes 2: 425–427.

Keller, I., and C. R. Largiader. 2003. Five microsatellite DNA markers for the ground beetle *Abax parallelepipedus* (Coleoptera, Carabidae). Mol. Ecol. Notes 3: 113–114.

Luff, M. L. 1998. Provisional atlas of the ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae) of the British Isles. Biological Records Centre, Huntingdon, United Kingdom.

Petts, G. E., and A. M. Gurnell. 2005. Dams and geomorphology: Research progress and future directions. Geomorphology 71: 27–47.

Sadler, J., and A. Bates. 2008. The ecohydrology of invertebrates associated with exposed riverine sediments. *In P. Wood, D. Hannah, and J. Sadler* (eds.), Hydroecology and ecohydrology: past, present and future. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester.

Tockner, K., and J. Stanford. 2002. Riverine flood plains: present state and future trends. Environ. Conserv. 29: 308–330.

Received 2 April 2013; accepted 29 October 2013.

^aHeterozygosities calculated for the central population on the River Severn, main channel (n = 32) ("Severn" in Table 3).

^{**}Statistically significant.