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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to begin investigating the effects of ageism in the family context. The current literature has documented the negative impacts that negative stereotypes and negative perceptions of aging has on older adults’ health, mortality, and well-being (Levy, 1996; Levy, 2003). However, the majority of extant research on ageism focuses on age discrimination in the workplace and in healthcare despite the majority of peoples’ time being spent in the family context. Therefore examining experiences of ageism sourced from family members merits study. Walker, Bisconti and Kinkade (in preparation) found evidence that the experience of ageism within the family context varies from the workplace context. Past research has demonstrated that older adults who identify as being older and adapt to the changes that arise with aging are associated with higher levels of self-esteem (Whitbourne, Sneed, & Skultety, 2002; Weinberger & Whitbourne, 2010). It is hypothesized that age identification will serve as a moderator for the relationship between familial ageism and well-being. Participants completed a set of questionnaires measuring experiences with familial ageism, depression, self-esteem, and ego strength. Experiences of familial ageism correlated with the well-being outcome variables in the predicted direction. Age identity moderated the relationship between familial ageism and depression and ego strength, such that participants who identified as being younger or identified as their age reported lower depression scores and higher ego strength scores. These findings suggest that age identity may serve as a buffer against the negative impacts that experiences of familial ageism has on well-being.
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INTRODUCTION

Upon meeting another individual, people tend to immediately and unconsciously categorize them on these three qualities: gender, race, and age. This automatic categorization, or stereotyping, has given rise to different types of “isms.” A significant amount of research has been done on racist and sexist stereotypes, the impact of sexism and racism, and how to combat these forms of discrimination. Although this area of research is growing, comparatively little attention has been granted to ageism. Current research on ageism has focused predominantly on specific settings, specifically age discrimination in the workplace and in healthcare. Studying the effects of ageism stemming from other sources, such as the family, been largely unexplored. The purpose of the current study will be to fill the current gap in the literature by studying how ageism impacts mental health outcomes in the family context.

Stereotypes, Prejudice, and Discrimination

Stereotypes, prejudice, and discrimination are three closely related concepts. The main distinction between the three are that stereotypes are cognitive, prejudice is affective, and discrimination is behavioral (Fiske, 1998). Because stereotypes are a part of our knowledge and thought processes, they can be either explicitly or implicitly activated and expressed (Fiske, 1998; Levy, 1996). Stereotypes involve the unconscious and automatic categorization of people based on stereotypic in-group and out-group characteristics. Previous research has stated that with this automatic categorization process, people tend to overexaggerate the similarities between individuals in the outgroup (Fiske, 1998). Stereotypes lay the groundwork for prejudice by developing categories and schemas to describe groups of people. Prejudice is associating
those categories with positive, negative, and neutral attitudes and feelings. Discrimination is acting upon those categorizations, attitudes, and feelings by treating groups of people differentially.

**Age-Related Stereotypes**

Stereotyping based on age appears to start young. Researchers have found that children hold stereotypes about older adults from approximately 6 years of age; children as young as three are able to pick out the oldest adult from a group of drawings, suggesting the use of automatic categorization based on a schema of ‘old’ from a young age (Robinson & Howatson-Jones, 2014; Levy 2003). Researchers suggest that although children begin discriminating age and developing age-related stereotypes at a young age, they are not necessarily ageist. However, children begin expressing more and more negative stereotypes of aging the older they get (Robinson & Howatson-Jones, 2014). Preschool aged children begin expressing negative attitudes towards older adults, such as seeing them as being helpless or incapable (Levy, 2003).

Originally coined in 1968 by Robert Butler, the term ageism refers to the stereotyping and differential treatment of people due to their age (Achenbaum, 2013). Ageism includes both cognitive and behavioral components. Additionally, ageism also includes the wide number of negative beliefs and stereotypes about older adults that are prominent within western culture (Achenbaum, 2013; Levy & Banaji, 2002). These beliefs and stereotypes may be positive or negative, however, the majority of the extant stereotypes of aging are negative ones (Palmore, 1999; Posthuma & Campion, 2009). Positive stereotypes about older adults center around being wise, wealthy, family oriented, understanding, supportive, generous, and enjoying volunteer work (Hummert, 1993; Schmidt & Boland, 1986). The more common, negative stereotypes
about aging see older adults as frail, incompetent, lonely, unable to contribute to society, unable to adapt to changes in society, demanding, bad-tempered, stubborn and set in their ways, as well as confused, forgetful, and senile (McCann & Guiles, 2002; Hummert, 1990; Hummert, 1993; Schmidt & Boland, 1986; Levy, 1996; Levy & Banaji, 2002; Kite, Stockdale, Whitley Jr., & Johnson, 2005; Posthuma & Campion, 2009).

No age group is free from stereotypes, and each generational cohort appears to have their own stereotypes. Younger generations, such as Generation-X and Generation-Y have stereotypes that overlap with each other such as being technology savvy, valuing a work-life balance, and being lazy, entitled, or arrogant (Perry, Hanvongse, & Casoinic, 2013). Stereotypes surrounding Baby Boomers differ from the stereotypes of younger adults, and include being hardworking, not understanding technology, and being resistant to change. It is important to note that this research was conducted in the context of the workplace, as much of the research on age-related stereotypes and discrimination has been. Other researchers studying workplace age-related stereotypes found common stereotypes of older workers involve the belief that older workers are: less productive, have lower job performance, untrainable, unwilling to adapt to change, and physically and cognitively inferior (McCann & Guiles, 2002; Posthuma & Campion, 2009). In fact, many employers have admitted that they will take into consideration the physical and cognitive demands of the job, and how well an older individual may be able to meet those demands when evaluating older applicants (McCann & Guiles, 2002).

**Age-Related Prejudice**

Although age-related prejudices are prevalent in society, the underlying causes of negative attitudes towards aging are unclear. Duncan and Schaller (2009) have suggested
prejudice against the older adults may be due to an evolutionary response to avoid infectious diseases. These authors postulate, as a way to keep themselves safe, humans have developed the implicit ability to identify unusual characteristics (e.g., coughing) in other people which may indicate the presence of an infectious disease. When people perceive these atypical characteristics, they tend to trigger feelings of disgust, which then encourages avoidance behaviors. Although this response was designed to keep people safe from disease, it may have generalized to include features that are not indicative of disease, specifically characteristics that indicate aging. Therefore, when people perceive older individuals, this disease-avoidance response is erroneously triggered, resulting in negative feelings of disgust and avoidance of older individuals. As support for this hypothesis, Duncan and Schaller (2009) conducted a study in which they found that individuals who believed themselves to be vulnerable to infectious disease were more likely to express implicit prejudice against older adults.

To offer an alternative underlying reason for prejudice against the aged, some studies suggest that prejudice may arise when the thought of aging threatens one's current sense of identity (Packer & Chasteen, 2006). A couple of studies investigating identity and aging have found that younger adults who identified more strongly with their young age group were more likely to express negative attitudes toward their future older selves. Conversely, if a young individual had no strong identification with their current age group, this effect was not seen (Packer & Chasteen, 2006). The authors of this study hypothesize that negative attitudes towards the aged self might have increased because considering an older version of oneself may be threatening to an individual's current young identity.

Contrary to popular belief, feelings of prejudice against the older adults is not just an experience seen within modern American culture. Recent reviews of the literature indicate that
age-based prejudice has become an international concern. In fact, contrary to conventional
beliefs, it has been found that individuals living in east Asia have less favorable attitudes toward
the older adults and aging compared to cultures in Southeast Asia and English-speaking nations
in Europe (North & Fiske, 2015). Similar findings have been reported for non-English speaking
countries in Eastern Europe. It has been suggested that nations in which the population increase
of the aged has been the heaviest have seen the biggest decrease in age prejudice, possibly due to
national resources being strained. Interestingly, nations that emphasized individualism tended to
have more positive attitudes toward aging.

**Age-Related Discrimination**

Age-related discrimination includes a number of actions that involve differential behavior
towards older adults due to their age. Some of the documented examples of discriminatory age
behaviors range from the use of derogatory language to describe older adults, denial of care for
treatable health concerns, differential treatment in the workplace, and in its most severe forms,
elder abuse (McCann & Guiles, 2002). Within the literature, ageism has been primarily studied
within two contexts: the workplace and in healthcare. Age-related discrimination in the
workplace is connected to negative stereotypes of older adults in the workplace. For example,
older workers are less likely to be presented with opportunities for training and professional
development and are less likely to be offered constructive feedback to help in their career
development (Posthuma & Campion, 2009; Walker & Zelin, *in press*). Workplace stereotypes
and discrimination have been linked to lower self-esteem and negative impacts on the mental
health of older workers (McCann & Giles, 2002) as well as decreased feelings of self-efficacy
(Chiesa, Stefano, Dordoni, Henkens, Fiabane, & Setti, 2016).
Researchers have found that doctors treat older adults with cancer less aggressively (Hutchins, Unger, Crowley, Coltman, & Albain, 1999; Hynes, 1994); administer shortened attempts at resuscitation during cardiopulmonary arrest (Fried, Miller, Stein, & Wachtel, 1996); and undertreat acute heart attacks (Krumholz, Murillo, Chen, Vaccarino, Radford, Ellerbeck, & Wang, 1997; McLaughlin, Gurwitz, Willison, Gao, & Soumerai, 1999; McLaughlin, Soumerai, Willison, Gurwitz, Borbas, Guadagnoli, McLaughlin, Morris, Cheng, Hauptman, Antman, Casey, Asinger, & Gobel, 1996; Whittle, Wickenheiser, & Vendetti, 1997), heart disease (Bouma, van den Brink, van der Meulen, Verheul, Cheriex, Hamer, Dekker, Lie, & Tijssen, 1999), and asthma (Enright, McClelland, Newman, Gottlieb, & Lebowitz, 1999). Experiences with ageism have also been linked to other negative physical and psychological outcomes, such as reduced memory performance in older adults, reduced will to live and self-efficacy, and a higher cardiovascular response (Levy, 1996; Levy, Hausdorff, Hencke, & Wei, 2000; Ory, Hoffman, Hawkins, Sanner, & Mockenhaupt, 2003).

Preliminary research by Walker, Bisconti, and Kinkade (in preparation) points to the need to expand experiences with ageism beyond the workplace and healthcare contexts into the family realm. After conducting an exploratory qualitative analysis of participant responses across the lifespan on their experiences with ageism, the researchers found evidence of ageism occurring within the family context. Specifically, they highlighted how experiences with ageism within the family can include: challenging the physical and mental competency of their family members, over-helping, restricting, or monitoring the behavior of family members due to a belief the physical and mental competency of their loved one is compromised, and negative reactions to behaviors that are deemed “too early” or “too late” for their social clock. This is a new avenue of
research in the field of ageism, thus, the current study will focus on the impact of familial ageism.

**Self-Stereotyping**

Ageism is unique in that as individuals grow older, they shift from being members of the ingroup to members of the outgroup. This shift in turn causes aging stereotypes to become self-stereotypes over time. Aging self-stereotypes are developed over the course of one’s life, with the entire process beginning in childhood (Levy, 2003). Due to the prevalence of aging stereotypes within society, children are regularly exposed to aging stereotypes. At the time they are introduced to these stereotypes, children do not identify as being old so these stereotypes are not meaningful to their sense of identity. Rather, rather are used to describe the outgroup- those who are identified as old. Therefore, they internalize negative stereotypes about aging are internalized for the majority of their lives. As people grow older, they begin to find that these stereotypes about aging they had internalized for years are now relevant to themselves, and they then become self-stereotypes.

Negative self-stereotypes are typically internalized when they are not relevant to an individual’s identity, so no psychological protections are ever developed against them. This means that by the time those stereotypes become relevant, individuals may find it harder to cope with them (Levy, 2003). Negative impacts of self-stereotyping include decreased performance on memory tasks, and slower movements. These have both been observed after both young and older individuals were implicitly primed with negative aging stereotypes (Levy, 1996; Levy 2003). Relatedly, stereotype threat occurs when an individual fears being negatively judged based on the stereotypes of the group they belong to (Spencer, Steele, & Quinn, 1998).
Typically, stereotype threat is studied in situations in which an individual fear that their performance on a certain task will confirm stereotypes about their group (Spencer, Steele & Quinn, 1998; Levy, 2003). For example, an older adult, aware of the stereotypes that older adults are forgetful or senile, may become anxious when asked to complete a memory assessment because she does not want to have these stereotypes confirmed about her due to her performance.

At times, older adults may try to protect themselves from the impacts of self-stereotyping by dissociating themselves from the ‘old’ identity (Weiss & Lang, 2012). Older adults may validate their identification with younger age groups by comparing themselves to a reference group. For older adults, this reference group includes the negative stereotypes that surround older adulthood. As a person ages, they find that their experiences do not necessarily line up with those stereotypes. This discrepancy in experiences makes older adults much less likely to identify as “old” (Bultena & Powers, 1978). Feelings of being younger than one’s actual age has been found to be linked to a number of other positive outcomes as well, such as being at a lower risk of experiencing a major depressive episode, being more likely to have high mental health, and having lower markers of systemic inflammation- which is related to several negative health outcomes (Keyes & Westerhof, 2012; Stephan, Sutin, & Terracciano, 2015).

In contrast, Whitbourne, Sneed, and Skultety (2002), propose an Identity Processing Theory, which introduces the strategies of identity assimilation, identity accommodation, and identity balance, all of which are used as ways individuals process new age-related information about themselves and how this information relates to their identities (Whitbourne et al., 2002; Sneed & Whitbourne, 2005). Identity assimilation involves incorporating age-related information into one’s already existing self-concept. If new information does not fit one’s already defined schema of their self, they may choose to disregard that information as being irrelevant. Excessive
use of assimilation strategies may lead individuals to deny the reality that they are aging, choosing instead to cling to a younger identity (Whitbourne et al., 2002; Sneed & Whitbourne, 2005).

Alternatively, accommodation involves an individual changing their self-concept due to new age-related information. Excessive use of accommodation may result in an individual adapting their identity to fit in with new information about their age and may lead them to rely on aging stereotypes as a foundation for their identity (Whitbourne et al., 2002; Sneed & Whitbourne, 2005). Theoretically, Whitbourne (1986) proposes that a balance of identity accommodation and assimilation leads to the healthiest identity. Using a balance of both strategies, an individual is able to assimilate new age-related information into their self-concept without completely changing their entire self-concept. Individuals who positively identify with the fact that they are getting older and who adapt to the changes that arise with aging tend to experience higher levels of self-esteem compared to those who instead choose to maintain a younger identity or who change their whole identity to revolve around negative stereotypes of aging (Whitbourne et al., 2002; Weinberger & Whitbourne, 2010).

Erikson’s theory psychosocial development proposes that as they age, people progress through a series of eight stages, each with its own crisis that must be resolved. Successful navigation of each crisis results in the development of a corresponding virtue, or ego strength (Markstrom, Sabino, Turner, & Berman, 1997). Healthy development is contingent on the resolution of each life stage and the development of each ego strength.

It is believed that each of Erikson's eight crises can be further broken down into eight "sub-crises" that can be used to further clarify how individuals in that stage develop. For example, middle adulthood is defined by the conflict of generativity vs. stagnation, and
researchers have begun to theorize what specific crises this stage is comprised of. One of the final sub-crisis in this stage is one of Honesty vs. Denial. Here, an adult must begin to evaluate themself and their lives honestly in order to truly know themself (Slater, 2003). Another point made by the author is that adults must also become honest with the fact that death is inevitable. This implies that healthy development involves an identification with the fact that one is getting older- coming to terms with what their life has been thus far and that end of life is beginning to draw nearer. By not being honest with themselves about their aging, adults risk going into denial (Slater, 2003).

**Purpose and Hypotheses**

The purpose of this study is to begin exploring the influence that familial ageism has on well-being. Here, well-being will be measured using instruments designed to measure depression, and ego strength. Ego strength was chosen as a measure of well-being due to the fact that successful completion of each life crisis results in the development of an ego strength; therefore, individuals who have a healthier development should have higher levels of ego strength and thus well-being.

Specifically, the goal of this study is to test the following hypotheses:

1.) Experiences with familial ageism are related to depression and ego strength.
2.) Aging identity moderates the relationship between experiences of familial ageism and depression symptomology. and
3.) Aging identity moderates the relationship between experiences of familial ageism and ego integrity.

Previous research is mixed and suggests two potential outcomes: that experiences of familial ageism may have a lessened impact on well-being when individuals identify as being older as compared to those who try to maintain a younger identity or that experiences of familial
ageism may have a lessened impact on well-being when individuals identify as being younger as compared to those who maintain an “old” or “older” identity.
METHOD

Participants

This study was approved by the university Institutional Review Board (Study # IRB-FY2020-484) on January 17, 2020 before data was collected (see Appendix). The total sample consisted of 226 participants; however, 15 participants were removed for submitting blank or incomplete surveys. The remaining participants ($n = 211$) were primarily heterosexual (85.5%), white (83.4%), and female (51.2%) who had completed a college degree (45%). The ages of participants ranged from 19 to 72, with most participants identifying as middle aged (48.3%) or young adults (39.3%). The majority of participants were married (47.9%), had children (55.9%), and were in good health (67%). Income was well distributed, and most participants were working full-time (76.8%). For complete demographic information, see Table 1.

The sample for this study was drawn from Missouri State University and from the community. Several different recruitment methods were used at Missouri State University. To obtain a diverse range of ages, nontraditional and adult students (defined as students aged 22 and older) were targeted. Undergraduate students enrolled in an introductory psychology course were invited to complete the survey for research credit ($n = 32$, 15.17%). Adult students and students participating in a program for students age 62 and older were sent an email inviting them to participate as well ($n = 8$, 3.79%). In some courses, students were offered extra credit if they had an adult (such as a parent) complete the study ($n = 17$, 8.06%). Unless participants were students who received research credit or extra credit in exchange for completing the study, participants were given the opportunity to enter a drawing for one of four $25 gift cards as incentive for participation.
Community samples were obtained using Amazon Mechanical Turk \((n = 154, 72.99\%)\), in which participants were rewarded $3 for submitting usable responses. Posts containing information about the study and the link to the online survey were also posted on Craigslist for several large cities throughout the United States. Individuals who found the study through Craigslist were also offered the chance to win a gift card as incentive.

**Procedure**

Participants were asked to complete a survey that included a number of questionnaires and scales. The measures used in this survey included a demographic questionnaire, the newly developed Familial Ageism Scale (FAS), the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSE), the Center of Epidemiological Studies’ Depression Scale (CES-D), the Psychosocial Inventory of Ego Strengths (PIES). Two supplemental scales designed to measure ageism, the Ageism Survey (Palmore, 2001) and the Ambivalent Ageism Scale (Cary, Chasteen, & Remedios, 2017) were also included.

**Measures**

**Familial Ageism.** The Experiences with Familial Ageism Scale is a 63-item scale developed to measure ageist behaviors (e.g., comments, jokes, unwanted or unnecessary help or worry, etc.). Items were created to measure differential treatment by family members both for being young (Members of my family have not listened to me because they feel like I am too young) and for being old (Members of my family make me feel like a burden due to my older age), as well as measured differential treatment for social clock deviations (Members of my family have made negative comments when they believed I completed life tasks too late in life.
for their comfort). For each item, participants rated how often they experienced that particular event on a Likert scale from one (the event never occurred) to four (the event occurred almost all of the time). Higher scores indicate more experiences of ageism coming from family members. The Experiences with Familial Ageism scale is a newly developed scale. Validity and reliability are in the process of being established for this measure. In this sample, the FAS had an exceptionally high Cronbach’s alpha (.99).

**Aging Identity.** Aging identity has been measured using a variety of different methods throughout the literature, though the most common method of measurement is by asking a single question about the age an individual perceives themselves to be. This may be done by asking participants to select a category that best describes them (“very young, young, middle age, elderly, or old”), or by asking participants for their “feel age”, or the age they feel like they are (Barak, 1987).

**Depression.** The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) is a scale designed to measure the depressive symptoms currently being experienced among members of the general population (Radloff, 1977). Participants completed the 20-item scale by rating on a 4-point Likert scale how often they experienced a symptom over the past week. The scale ranged from “Rarely or none of the time (less than 1 day)” to “Most or all of the time (5-7 days)”. Higher scores on the CES-D indicate more depressive symptoms. Sample items include: “I felt depressed” and “My sleep was restless”. The CES-D demonstrated internal consistency in previous research with an alpha of .85 for the general public and .90 for clinical populations (Radloff, 1977). In the current study, the CES-D also had a high Cronbach’s alpha (.93).

**Self-Esteem.** The Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale is a 10 item scale designed to measure an individual’s level of self-esteem. Participants will be asked how much they agree with each item
on a four-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly agree) to 4 (Strongly disagree). Higher scores indicate higher levels of self-esteem. Sample items include: “On the whole, I am satisfied with myself” and “At times, I think I am no good at all”. Previous research has demonstrated that the RSE shows concurrent, predictive, and construct validity (Donnellan, Ackerman, & Brecheen, 2016; Gray-Little, Williams, & Hancock, 1997).

**Ego Integrity.** The Psychosocial Inventory of Ego Strengths (PIES) is an inventory developed to measure the Eriksonian concept of ego strength. Erikson’s theory of psychosocial development proposes a series of eight life crises that people must navigate as they progress through life. Ego strengths are those virtues that arise from the successful resolution of each life conflict. There are eight ego strengths in total, one associated with each of Erikson’s life conflicts: hope, will, purpose, competence, fidelity, love, care, and wisdom (Markstrom et al., 1997).

The PIES was originally developed to have 64 items total but has also been condensed into a brief version with only 32 items. This study used the shortened version of the PIES to reduce the length of the survey. Participants rated how much they agreed with a series of statements on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (“does not describe me well”) to 5 (“describes me very well”; Markstrom et al., 1997). Sample items include “In many ways, I have control over my future” and “No matter how bad things get, I am confident they will get better”. The 32-item version of the PIES displayed high internal consistency with an alpha of .91 (Markstrom et al., 1997). This sample had a Cronbach’s alpha of .93.
RESULTS

Missing data were filled in using the ipsative imputation method, or item analysis. Using this method missing data were estimated by taking the average of the participants’ completed responses.

Correlations

The first set of hypotheses, that experiences of familial ageism will negatively impact outcome variables measuring well-being, was supported. Correlations between the outcome variables and scores on the Familial Ageism Scale were significant in the predicted directions. Scores on the FAS were positively correlated with depression scores ($r = .62; p < .001$), and were negatively correlated with self-esteem ($r = -.36, p < .001$) and ego strength ($r = -.44, p < .001$; see Table 2).

Moderation Analyses

Evidence was found in partial support of the second hypothesis that aging identity would moderate the relationship between experiences of familial ageism and depressive symptomology, $R^2 = .42, F(3, 194) = 46.41, p < .001$. To test this hypothesis, a moderation analysis was performed using Hayes PROCESS model (Model 1). The results of these analyses are presented in Table 3. There was a significant conditional effect of age identity on the relationship between experiences with familial ageism and depression, as there was a significant interaction between familial ageism and age identity when predicting depression ($b = .01, p < .05, \Delta R^2 = .01, 95\% CI [.0004, .0228]$). Post hoc analyses were performed using Hayes’ PROCESS. This analysis
described the conditional effect of age identity on the relationship between experiences with familial ageism and depression and ego strength at the average age difference, and one standard deviation above and below the mean age difference. For depression, there was a conditional effect when people felt younger than their age ($b = .47$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [.34, .59]), felt their age ($b = .50$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [.40, .61]), and felt older than their age ($b = .64$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [.50, .78]) (see Figure 1). To understand where the conditional effect driving the significant interaction was occurring, the Johnson-Neyman technique was utilized to identify the point at which the effect of the predictor on the outcome transitioned from being statistically significant to nonsignificant. When the discrepancy between participant age and felt age was -19.51 years or more, this transition occurred, ($b = .27$, $p = .05$, 95% CI [.0000, .5491]). For conceptual and statistical models, see Figure 2 and Figure 3.

The third hypothesis, aging identity moderates the relationship between experiences of familial ageism and ego integrity, was also supported, $R^2 = .26$, $F(3, 192) = 22.13$, $p < .001$. To test this hypothesis, a moderation analysis was performed using Hayes PROCESS model (Model 1). The results of these analyses are presented in Table 4. A significant interaction was also found when a moderation analysis was performed using familial ageism and age identity to predict ego strength ($b = -.01$, $p < .05$, $\Delta R^2 = .02$, 95% CI [-.0242, -.0026]). Additional post hoc tests and the Johnson-Neyman technique were also employed for this analysis. Similar results were found for ego strength as well, where a conditional effect was also found when people felt younger than their age ($b = -.23$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [-.35, -.12]), felt their age, ($b = -.28$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [-.38, -.18]) and felt older than their age ($b = -.44$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [-.57, -.30]) (see Figure 4). Once again, the Johnson-Neyman technique was used to identify the point at which the effect of ageing identity on ego strength was no longer significant. Here, it was when the
discrepancy between participant age and felt age was -8.52 years or more ($b = .27, p = .05, \ 95\%\ CI \ [.0000, .5491]$). For conceptual and statistical models, see Figure 5 and Figure 6.
DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to expand the contexts in which the effects of ageism are being studied. Where previous researchers have predominantly focused on studying ageism within the context of the workplace and in healthcare, this study focused on the impact ageism can have within the family. Previous researchers have established that negative aging stereotypes can have negative impacts on older adults both physically and psychologically (Levy, 1996; Levy et al., 2000; Ory et al., 2003). The phenomenon of self-stereotyping also presents problems. Previous researchers suggest that people who are exposed to negative stereotypes about aging and older adults from a young age internalize these stereotypes. When people grow old, they suddenly find all these internalized negative stereotypes apply to them which in turn damages cognitive functioning and mental health (Levy, 1996; Levy, 2003). Therefore, when studying ageism, it is important to also consider how individuals identify with their age.

The literature on age identity was mixed. Classic theories such as Erikson’s theory of psychosocial development suggests that identification with one’s age group - by way of working through life stages that are developmentally appropriate - fosters healthy development (Slater, 2003). Whitbourne’s Identity Processing Theory also suggests that taking a balanced approach to aging by adapting to changes while still maintaining one’s sense of self, is the healthiest way of identifying with one’s age (Whitbourne et al., 2002; Sneed & Whitbourne, 2005). However, other studies suggest that feeling younger than one’s age serves as protection against negative aging stereotypes and may have physical and psychological benefits (Keyes & Westerhof, 2012; Stephan, et al., 2015; Levy, 1996; Levy, 2003). Due to this mixed results on the impact of maintaining a “younger” or “older” identity compared to actual chronological age, this study
explored which identity would facilitate healthier well-being when confronted with ageism in the family context.

Three hypotheses were presented in this study. First, it was predicted that familial ageism would be negatively correlated to well-being. In the context of this study, this would mean that experiences of familial ageism would be positively correlated with depression and negatively correlated with self-esteem and ego strength. The overall prediction was that experiences of familial ageism would decrease well-being. This was supported. As experiences with familial ageism increased, reported depressive symptomology increased and ego integrity decreased. When these variables are examined in isolation, these suggests a deleterious impact of experiences of ageism within the family context on individual well-being.

To determine if aging identity may positively impact this negative relationship between familial ageism and well-being, this study investigated two additional moderation hypotheses: that aging identity would moderate the relationship between experiences of familial ageism with both depression and ego integrity. Due to the mixed results in previous research, this study explored the impact of aging identity on these relationships. Aging identity was measured by finding the discrepancy between one’s actual age and one’s “feel” age (Barak, 1987). For both of the supported models, the data suggests that when participants experienced low to medium levels of familial ageism, aging identity did appear to make a difference in ego strength and depression scores. More specifically, when participants felt younger than their chronological age or felt their same age, they had lower levels of depressive symptomology and higher levels of ego strength compared to their counterparts who felt older than their chronological age.

In other words, aging identity appears to potentially serve as a protective factor against the negative impact that familial ageism has on depression and ego strength for participants who
had a young to same age perception of their aging identity. When participants felt a certain number of years older than their actual age, aging identity was no longer a buffer between familial ageism and well-being. For depression, this point was when participants felt about 19.5 years older than their chronological age; for ego strength this point was when participants felt approximately 8.5 years older than their chronological age. Further, participants who experienced high levels of familial ageism reported low levels of ego strength and high levels of depression, regardless of how they identified with their age. This indicates that if an individual is experiencing a lot of ageism from their family, their aging identity is no longer able to serve as a buffer even if they identify as younger than their age; their well-being will be damaged regardless of how old or young they feel.

The results of this study support research that suggests identifying as younger or feeling younger than one’s actual age is more beneficial than embracing an identity as “old” (Weiss & Lang, 2012, Bultena & Powers, 1978, Keyes & Westerhof, 2012, Stephan et al., 2015, Levy, 2003). Previous researchers have documented the negative impacts that stereotypes about aging can have on an individual. Weiss and Lang (2012) suggest older adults may distance themselves from being “old” as a way to defend themselves against the negative impacts of these stereotypes. Older adults may also be inclined to adopt a younger identity due to the use of reference groups (Bultena & Powers, 1978). When comparing their own experiences with aging to aging stereotypes, older adults will likely find that their experiences do not align very well with aging stereotypes. Therefore, they feel or identify as younger because aging stereotypes are not true to the lived experience of older adults (Bultena & Powers, 1978). Other researchers support the finding that feeling younger than they actually are can have positive impacts on a person’s mental and physical health (Keyes & Westerhof, 2012; Stephan et al., 2015). The
results of this study lend additional support for the positive implications of feeling younger than one’s age: protection against the impacts of age-based prejudice and discrimination from family members.

This study also provides evidence that feeling one’s own age may serve as a protective factor as well, and this may be due to positive associations or perceptions of one’s age, as suggested by research conducted by Levy (2003). In her research, Levy (2003) found that older adults who were primed with positive stereotypes of aging experienced increased performance on memory tasks, increased walking speed (which suggests increased balance), and an increased will to live. This may suggest that if people identify with their age, as some participants in this study did, yet feel good about their age, then this may lessen the negative impact of familial ageism on well-being.

**Limitations**

There are a few limitations to this study that must be noted. One limitation arises simply from the fact that, to the researchers’ knowledge, familial ageism has never been measured before. Therefore, a new scale had to be developed to measure experiences with familial ageism. While this measure was developed based on previous data, the scale has yet to be validated. Since the quality of the scale has not been evaluated, it is at this point unknown whether the data collected using this tool is of high quality. As such, future research wishing to replicate this study using a validated version of this scale would be beneficial.

Another limitation is that the sample used for this study was not very diverse, as the vast majority of participants were white. In addition, most participants were sampled from a university population. Originally, recruitment plans for this study also included obtaining
samples from long-term care facilities and senior centers. However, due to the COVID-19 outbreak, state mandates prohibiting gatherings of more than ten people and the shutdown of long-term care facilities prevented sampling from these groups. Conducting this research with a broader, older, sample would provide additional reliability to claims regarding the conditional and protective nature of aging identity on the outcome variables.

**Conclusion**

To the knowledge of the researchers, this study was one of the first to investigate the phenomenon of familial ageism, and its impact on the well-being of those who experience it. This study found that experiencing familial ageism does negatively impact an individual by increasing depression and decreasing ego strength and self-esteem. Additionally, aging identity seems to potentially serve as both a buffer in the relationship between familial ageism and depression and as a facilitator between experiences with ageism and ego integrity. However, there are two exceptions to this finding: when people feel much older than their actual age, and in environments where familial ageism is high, aging identity does not appear to serve as a protective factor. With the support for the harmful effects of familial ageism presented in this study future research may focus on investigating other potential protective factors in the relationship between familial ageism and well-being, as well as looking at various methods that may be used to reduce instances familial ageism.
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Table 1
Participant Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>102 (48.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>108 (51.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
<td>1 (.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>176 (83.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black and/or African American</td>
<td>16 (7.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American or Aleutian</td>
<td>3 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islander/Eskimo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>20 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>11 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Mixed race)</td>
<td>1 (.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sexual Orientation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight</td>
<td>181 (85.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisexual</td>
<td>21 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gay or Lesbian</td>
<td>5 (2.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (1.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Descriptive Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teenager</td>
<td>9 (4.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Adult</td>
<td>83 (39.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Frequency n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Aged</td>
<td>102 (48.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old</td>
<td>17 (8.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Relationship Status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship Status</th>
<th>Frequency n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>60 (28.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>101 (47.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>2 (.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>22 (10.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried Partners</td>
<td>26 (12.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Frequency n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $10,000</td>
<td>15 (7.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 - $19,999</td>
<td>25 (11.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000 - $29,999</td>
<td>24 (11.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000 - $39,999</td>
<td>19 (9.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,000 - $49,999</td>
<td>24 (11.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000 - $59,999</td>
<td>22 (10.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,000 - $69,999</td>
<td>12 (5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$70,000 - $79,999</td>
<td>14 (6.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80,000 - $89,999</td>
<td>13 (6.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$90,000 - $99,999</td>
<td>12 (5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 - $149,999</td>
<td>18 (8.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $150,000</td>
<td>13 (6.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Frequency n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade School (Grades 1-6)</td>
<td>2 (.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School (Grades 10-12)</td>
<td>19 (9.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College Classes</td>
<td>54 (25.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Degree</td>
<td>95 (45.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post College Professional Degree</td>
<td>21 (10.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Education</td>
<td>2 (.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate, Medical, or Law Degree</td>
<td>18 (8.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Career Status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>36 (17.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time</td>
<td>34 (17.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-Time</td>
<td>162 (76.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homemaker</td>
<td>16 (7.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>12 (5.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>16 (7.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to Work</td>
<td>11 (5.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>142 (67.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>61 (28.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>8 (3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Frequency n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>118 (55.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>90 (42.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Correlations Between Familial Ageism Scores (FAS) and Outcome Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>FAS Score</th>
<th>Depression</th>
<th>Ego Strength</th>
<th>Aging Identity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAS Score</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>.62***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ego Strength</td>
<td>-.44***</td>
<td>-.76***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging Identity</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>-.23**</td>
<td>.25***</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *p < .05.  **p < .01.  ***p < .001.
Table 3
Moderation Model: Conditional Effects of Familial Ageism and Aging Identity on Depression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Criterion: Depression</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>[.31, .30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial ageism</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>[.40, .61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age difference</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>&lt; .01</td>
<td>[-.05, -.01]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial ageism x age difference</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>[.0004, .02]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model statistics: $F(3, 194) = 46.41, p < .001, R^2 = .42$

Model change: $F(1, 194) = , p < .04, \Delta R^2 = .01$
### Table 4

Moderation Model: Conditional Effects of Familial Ageism and Aging Identity on Ego Strength

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Criterion: Ego strength</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Constant</strong></td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>[3.12, 3.55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial ageism</td>
<td>-.27</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>[-.38, -.17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age difference</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>[.02, .05]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial ageism x age difference</td>
<td>-.013</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>[-.02, -.002]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Model statistics**

\[ F(3, 192) = 22.13, p < .001, R^2 = .26 \]

**Model change**

\[ F(1,192) = 6.00, p < .02, \Delta R^2 = .02 \]
Figure 1. Conditional Effect of Age Identity at Different Levels of Familial Ageism on Depression
Figure 2. Conceptual Model for Aging Identity Moderating the Relationship Between Familial Ageism and Depression
Figure 3. Statistical Model for Familial Ageism, Aging Identity, and Their Interaction on Depression.
Note. Conditional effect of familial ageism on depression = .50 + .01(aging identity) *p < .05. 
**p < .01. ***p < .001.
Figure 4. Conditional Effect of Aging Identity at Different Levels of Familial Ageism on Ego Strength
Figure 5. Conceptual Model for Aging Identity Moderating the Relationship Between Familial Ageism and Ego Strength
**Figure 6. Statistical Model for Familial Ageism, Aging Identity, and Their Interaction on Ego Strength.**

Note. Conditional effect of familial ageism on ego strength = -.27 - .01(aging identity) *p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.
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**Category 2** Research that only includes interactions involving educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures, or observation of public behavior.

2A Does the research include any interventions?

| Yes | No |

2B Will the research include children or prisoners as participants?

| Yes | No |

2C Will recorded information readily identify the subject?

| Yes | No |

2D Will disclosure of participant responses outside of the research place the subject at risk (criminal, civil liability, financial, employability, educational advancement, or reputation)?

| Yes | No |

**Category 3** Research involving benign behavioral interventions in conjunction with the collection of information from an adult subject through verbal or written responses (including data entry) or audiovisual recording if the subject prospectively agrees to the intervention and information
collection.

**Category 4** Secondary research for which consent is not required (data or biospecimen).

**Category 5** Research and demonstration projects that are conducted or supported by a Federal Agency/Department AND that are designed to study, evaluate, improve, or otherwise examine public benefit or service programs.

**Category 6** Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies.

Discuss how this study fits the chosen exemption category.

2 Participants were be asked to voluntarily complete demographic questions and surveys. Although we do not anticipate any negative psychological risks from participating in the study, participants may skip any questions that make them feel uncomfortable, and they may also end the survey at any time. Because our survey will be administered online via Qualtrics, and participants will be directed to a separate Qualtrics form to enter their information for the drawing, we will have no way to link an individual subject to the answers they provided.

3 Attach proposed tests, survey, questionnaire, or interview questions if applicable.

Participant Questionnaires.docx

4 Attach any informed consent, assent, or recruitment documents associated with the study,

Participant Questionnaires.docx
Is this study externally funded?

For example, this research is funded by a source outside Missouri State; a federal agency, non-profit organization, etc.

Yes
 ✓ No

Potentially (this study is being submitted for funding, but has not yet been awarded)

Is this study internally funded?

For example, this research is funded by a source inside Missouri State; departmental funds, the Graduate College, etc.

✓ Yes

Please list the internal funding source

The Graduate College

No